

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,350	0 10/24/2003		Daniel W. Tollenaar	2-5578-004	2710
803	7590	08/07/2006		EXAMINER	
STURM & I			KATCHEVES, BASIL S		
SUITE 1213	VLIVOL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINE	S, IA 50	309-4076	3635		
				DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/693,350	TOLLENAAR, DANIEL W.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Basil Katcheves	3635			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apport 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 42-97 and 114-116 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 98-113 is/are withdra Claim(s) 42-79 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 80-97 and 114-116 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/of on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct Theorem Replacement drawing sheet	er. cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

New claims 114-116 have been added by the applicant in the amendment dated 6/13/06. Claims 42-113 are pending of which claims 98-113 have been withdrawn. Claims 42-97 and 114-116 are examined below.

Double Patenting

The terminal disclaimer dated 10/15/04 has been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 80-85, 87, 88, 90-97, 114-116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,275,534 to Porter.

Regarding claims 80, 81, 90-97, Porter discloses a plurality of upright leaning studs (fig. 1 & 10: 20) having four sides, a plurality of backing members (fig. 1 & 10: 128), having four sides, which extend between the plurality of studs (fig. 1), the backing members having a side which is disposed upon a plane substantially similar to a plane in which a side of the stude is disposed upon, a plurality of substantially planar spacing structures (fig. 10: 123) spanning between and spacing the backing members (128), the planar structure being on a plane (123) which is substantially parallel to the first plane which the backing members and studs are disposed upon.

Regarding claims 82, 83, Porter discloses the planar structures (123) as being made of metal (claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/693,350 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claims 84, 85, Porter discloses the backing members (128) as being wood.

Regarding claims 87, 88, 114-116, Porter discloses the stude as being made of U shaped metal (20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 86, 89 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,275,534 to Porter.

Regarding claim 86, Porter discloses the use of pressed board (120) attached to the studs, not sheetrock in particular. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify to use sheetrock, as pressed board and sheetrock are functional equivalents in the art of construction.

Regarding claim 89, Porter discloses the use of steel for the structure, but does not particularly disclose the use of galvanized steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use galvanized steel, as galvanized steel is commonly used on structures which will be subjected to excessive moisture and liquids, in this situation, outdoor structures.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 42-79 are allowed as in the previous office action.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/06 are moot under new grounds of rejections necessitated by the applicant's amendment.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to stud connections in general.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,350

Art Unit: 3635

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack, can be reached at (571) 272-6848.

BK

Basil Katcheves

8/2/06

Primary Examiner, AU 3635